INTRODUCTION

The industry must meet the requirements for increased safety (product liability), the tightened regulations regarding environmental protection, as well as the requests of car drivers for more luxury features. Costly recall actions, ever increasing demands on the quality management, and the changing legal framework force the industry into a new economic way of acting, especially with the harsh competitive conditions in the auto industry today (Barnes and Pashby, 2000; Buschke and Schappacher, 2006). One of the possibilities of decreasing the car weight and consequently lowering the fuel consumption is using various combinations of materials, such as combination of conventional deep drawn steel sheet and high-strength steel sheet. Assembly and joining techniques must also be redesigned when adopting alternative materials (Mucha et al., 2011; Sun and Khaleel, 2005).

The increasing use of coated, lightweight and high-strength materials has led the automotive industry to re-examine traditional methods of component assembly. Direct welding of dissimilar sheet metals has proven to be difficult or impossible; thus, alternative joining techniques, such as mechanical fastening systems, have attracted increasing interest and applications. Mechanical fastening encompasses a broad range of methods, from threaded fasteners to different forms of rivets and mechanical interlocking methods (Mucha and Witkowski, 2013; Kaščák et al., 2010). Welding as the main joining technology in automotive industry offers unrivaled flexibility, but there are disadvantages such as processing time, fatigue weakness or thermal distortion. There are many joining technologies that are alternatives to resistance spot welding such as spot friction stir welding, adhesive bonding or new joining solutions including the plastic forming cold processes. The group of joints made of the native material with or without an additional fastener includes among the others the self-pierce riveting (SPR) joints, clinching joints and clinching joints with rivet. The main their disadvantage is lack of good double-side access for the joint forming tools. One of the alternative joining methods is the ClinchRivet process, which geometrically constrains two sheets by local deformation of the sheet metals using a punch and die, as well as the special rivet (Sevim, 2006; Szymczyk and Godzimirski, 2012).

The ClinchRivet is a cold process for joining two or more sheets by directly piercing the sheets with a special rivet. Since the ClinchRivet process does not require a pre-drilled hole unlike the conventional riveting, the joining speed is the same level with that of the spot resistance welding, and the equipment is similar (Abe, 2009; Johnson et al., 2009). The joint is formed by a rivet – Fig. 1. The punch, under the pressure conveyed by a hydraulic power device, pushes the rivet to penetrate into the top plate, and the die shape causes the rivet to flare within the lower sheet in order to form a mechanical interlock. This process therefore requires access to both sides of the joint (Kaščák et al. 2012). It is similar to Clinching process, which is used without any additional elements. Joining the steel sheets of DX51D and H220PD grades by Clinching method was described in (Kaščák and Spišák, 2012).
2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The following steel sheets were used for experiments: microalloyed steel HSLA H220PD with the thickness of 0.8 mm and deep-drawing grade steel DX51D+Z with the thickness of 0.9 mm. Basic mechanical properties and chemical composition of above mentioned materials are shown in Tab. 1 and Tab. 2. Mechanical properties of DX51D+Z steel were specified by producer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Rp0.2 [MPa]</th>
<th>Rm [MPa]</th>
<th>A50 [%]</th>
<th>n50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H220PD</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX51D+Z</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>270-500</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H220PD</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX51D+Z</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to evaluate the properties of the ClinchRivet joints and resistance spot welded joints, the following tests were performed: tension test and metallographical analysis. All the samples with dimensions of 40 x 90 mm and 30 mm lapping according to STN 05 1122 standard were used for the experiments (Fig. 2). Six samples were prepared for both joining methods; one of them was left for metallographical analysis. It was not necessary to clean the sample surfaces before ClinchRivet joining. The ClinchRivet was carried out with the aluminium rivets (Fig. 3).

Resistance spot welding was carried out on a pneumatic spot welding-machines BPK 20 with the welding electrodes CuCr according to ON 42 3039.71 standard, where the diameter of ø5 mm of working area was used. The following parameters of resistance spot welding including pressing force of electrodes Fz, welding time T and welding current I were used:

- Samples with H220PD steels:
  - Fz = 3.2 kN,
  - T = 12 cycles
  - I = 7.8 kA

- Samples with DX51D+Z steels:
  - Fz = 3 kN,
  - T = 12 cycles
  - I = 7 kA

The parameters of resistance spot welding were determined according to the recommended welding parameters by IIW - International Institute of Welding and adapted to welding machine BPK 20. The surfaces of the samples for resistance spot welding were degreased in concentrated CH3COCH3.

The carrying capacities (Fmax) of the ClinchRivet joints as well as spot welded joints were measured according to standard STN 05 1122 - Welding: Tensile test on spot - and complete penetration welds. The test was carried out on the testing machine TIRAtest 2300 with the loading speed of 8 mm/min.

Further tests for quality evaluation of ClinchRivet joints and spot welded joints included the metalligraphical analysis. The quality of welded joints was evaluated by light microscopy on metallographical scratch patterns prepared according to ISO 6507-1 and ISO 6507-2 standards on Olympus TH 4-200 microscope.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The measured values of carrying capacities of ClinchRivet (CR) joints and resistance spot welded (RSW) joints made by are shown in Tab. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>RSW</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>RSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H220PD</td>
<td>4952</td>
<td>5377</td>
<td>5020</td>
<td>7635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4820</td>
<td>5487</td>
<td>4695</td>
<td>7640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4703</td>
<td>5653</td>
<td>4687</td>
<td>7560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4763</td>
<td>5492</td>
<td>4554</td>
<td>6947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4725</td>
<td>5796</td>
<td>4911</td>
<td>7764</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tensile tests were executed under displacement control conditions on the specimen configurations in order to characterise the static behaviour of the joints. The maximum shearing load was the most significant value that was obtained from the "shear force-displacement" curves - Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. The form of the curves indicates the behaviour of the joints under loading. The shearing load is higher for RSW joints than for CR joints in all observed combinations of joined materials.

All observed samples of RSW joints had higher values of carrying capacities in comparison to CR joints. The carrying capacity values of CR joints were similar in cases of both tested materials. However, in case of RSW samples, there was a significant difference. The carrying capacity of DX51D+Z joints was approximately 2000 N higher than the carrying capacity of welds joining H220PD material.
The average maximum shearing load of ClinchRivet joint was: for samples with H220PD material around 4900 N with the displacement about 0.5 mm and for samples with DX51D materials around 5000 N with the displacement about 0.5 mm. During the ClinchRivet process the rivet and the riveted sheets undergo massive deformation to form the mechanical interlock. This energy is stored within the interlock leading to higher energy absorption.

Joints made by ClinchRivet method failed in the manner of a press-stud in combination with the mode of one edge of the joint fails. This method results in a loosening of the joint after quite small displacements. The upper sheet was then pull out form the joint with the significant crack in the critical area - failed at the neck. There is insufficient material in the neck of the joint, and loading will result in failure in the neck; excessive elongation in the region of the joint neck causing cracks formation – Fig. 6.

Metallographical analysis confirmed suitability of the ClinchRivet method for joining the observed materials. Using the rivet in this method led to significant hardening of the joint in the critical area (Fig. 7).
Fig. 8 shows the place of the transition from the bottom of the ClinchRivet joint into the bulge on the bottom’s edges of both joined materials. The crack in this area was observed on the upper sheet (from the side of the punch) when H220PD steel sheets were joined – Fig. 8a. The rivet bears a major part of the load, therefore the crack created during the joining process does not have as significant influence on the carrying capacity of the joint as in the case of the clinching, where no rivets are used.
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Fig. 9. Microstructures of welded materials in the area of weld nugget: a) H220PD, b) DX51D+Z

The metallographical analysis of resistance spot welded joints confirmed formation of fusion welded joints with characteristic areas of weld metal (WM), heat affected zone (HAZ) and base material (BM) – Fig. 9. The macrostructures show the solidification process of weld metal with a characteristic dendrite structure typical for resistance spot welds. No pores or cavities occurred in the weld metal of samples with DX51D materials. Some pores were observed in the area of weld nugget in the samples with H220PD materials as shown in Fig. 9a.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Although the high-speed mechanical fastening technique ClinchRivet is a young joining method, it has become more and more popular during the last decades. Most authors focus on the ClinchRivet process using steel rivets. The ClinchRivet using aluminium rivets is indeed a challenging task, since the strength of aluminium alloys are much weaker than that of steels. The aluminium rivet can be easily deformed when compressed into the plates, and hence no interlock is formed.

The ClinchRivet method using aluminium rivets is suitable for joining the tested materials. The carrying capacities of CR samples were sufficient and the metallographical analysis confirmed no occurrence of cracks or failures in the area of CR joints during joining process.

The main advantage of ClinchRivet technology is low running costs due to the fact that the processed components need not be heated. Only a die and a punch are used to press the sheet components to finish the whole joining process. The incomparable advantages of CR in practical production are as follows: no joining hot-stress has been produced, no poisonous gas has been given off, there is little noise in the process, the energy consumption is low, and this process leads to no damage to surface coating and does not require any premanufacturing of holes to the joined materials.
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